

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,999	GREENHUT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766	

All Participants:

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(2) David Muzilla.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Houseworth et al

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:

Houseworth et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested incorporating the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 so as to place the case into condition for allowance since the Houseworth reference filters out polarization artifacts.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)